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Aim

- BOLD fMRI does not give an absolute measure of activity

→ Always compare activity across conditions using contrasts

Design task, conditions and timing to maximise an effect of interest
Overview

02 Experimental design (this lecture)

• fMRI for activation
• Design taxonomy
• fMRI for information

04 Design constraint & optimisation

• fMRI constraints
• Paradigm timing
Activation fMRI

- Measure the involvement of a region in a process
- Activation, activity, involvement, engagement...

= how mean BOLD signal varies with task condition
Isolating a process

- Basic aim: neural correlates of a single process
- Assume that addition of the component process does not alter other task components
  "pure insertion"

- Need: a meaningful cognitive question
  "What is this?"

Donders (1898-9)
Cognitive subtraction

Use a simple reaction time task to isolate a process

T2
Detect Stimulus  Recognise Object  Press Button

T1
Detect Stimulus  Press Button

= Recognise Object
Cognitive subtraction

Use a choice reaction time task – get the same results

T4: Detect Stimulus ▶ Recognise Object ▶ Choose Button ▶ Press Button

T3: Detect Stimulus ▶ Choose Button ▶ Press Button

= Recognise Object
Design taxonomy

- Categorical designs
- Factorial designs
- Conjunction designs
- Parametric designs
Categorical designs

Simple subtraction

• Testing for activation difference

A. Which regions specialised for a function?
B. Do 2 tasks differ in processing?
In SPM

- **Condition 1**
- **Condition 2**
- Constant for each session (run)
Control condition

• Experimental task must engage the process
• Control task must engage everything else

• Is fixation the right control for face naming?

Different stimulus, different task, different response...
Control condition

Novel or Familiar pictures

Fixation

Hippocampus more active for fixation than noise detection/ digit decision tasks

Low-level decision task

Early “Failure to activate” the hippocampus during episodic memory tasks

Were studies of amnesia wrong?

...only if we use fixation/ rest as the baseline

→ Choice of a baseline depends on your question!

Stark & Squire (2001) PNAS
'Null' events or long SOAs essential for estimation of response shape
'Implicit baseline' in SPM = everything not specified in the model
Any baseline ok for estimation of haemodynamic response shape
But cognitive interpretation usually not possible – not a control condition
“What is this?”

Object recognition

Phonological retrieval
Categorical designs

Serial subtraction

• Is the inferiortemporal cortex sensitive to both object recognition and phonological retrieval of object names?

Friston et al. (1997)
Problem: unjustified assumption that IT response to object recognition is context-independent!

more likely, one process modulates another
Design taxonomy

- Categorical designs
- **Factorial designs**
- Conjunction designs
- Parametric designs
### Factorial designs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>no phonolog. retrieval</th>
<th>phonolog. retrieval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Name colour of abstract image</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(vis. analysis, phonological retrieval, verbal output)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no object recognit.</td>
<td><strong>A</strong> visual analysis verbal output</td>
<td><strong>D</strong> visual analysis phonological retrieval verbal output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object recognit.</td>
<td><strong>B</strong> visual analysis object recognition verbal output</td>
<td><strong>C</strong> visual analysis object recognition phonological retrieval verbal output</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interaction:** 
\[(C - D) - (B - A) \Rightarrow \text{significant IT activation}\]

- phonological retrieval modulates IT response to object recognition
  \(\Rightarrow\) IT also involved in phonological retrieval!

Friston et al. (1997)
In SPM

Interactions:
cross-over vs. simple

Selectively check data for one or the other by **masking** during inference
Design taxonomy

• Categorical designs
• Factorial designs
• Conjunction designs
• Parametric designs
Conjunction design

One way to minimize ‘the baseline problem’ is to isolate the same cognitive process by two or more separate contrasts, and inspect the resulting simple effects for commonalities.

Conjunctions can be conducted across different contexts:
- tasks
- stimuli
- senses (vision, audition)
  etc.

Note: requirement for contrasts to be independent depends on which null hypothesis we test about conjunctions.
Conjunction design

Two task pairs

First task pair (from previous):

• D – viewing concrete objects and saying “yes”
• C – naming concrete objects

\[ D \rightarrow C = \text{phonological retrieval PLUS interaction with object recogn.} \]

New task pair:

• D2 – viewing coloured shapes saying “yes”
• C2 – naming colour of coloured shapes

\[ D2 \rightarrow C2 = \text{phonological retrieval PLUS interaction w/ only vis. analysis} \]

Extending example from Friston et al. (1997)
Conjunction design

Overlap isolates the process of interest
- Phonological retrieval
- NOT its interactions with visual processing

Overlap of 4 subtractions
Price & Friston (1997)
In SPM

Select multiple contrasts
Conjunction statistical tests

In SPM12, two ways to test the significance of conjunctions.

• Test of global null hypothesis (c):
  Significant set of consistent effects
  “which voxels show effects of similar direction (but not necessarily individual significance) across contrasts?”
  Requires independent contrasts

• Test of conjunction null hypothesis (d):
  Set of consistently significant effects
  “which voxels show, for each specified contrast, effects > threshold?”
  Works for dependent contrasts

Conjunction design

Detecting overlapping processing
• Experiencing ‘events’ involving faces, scenes, objects
• Reactivation of same regions when these categories of memories were retrieved
• Multivariate pattern analysis (Advanced course)

MVPA recall study
Polyn et al. (2005)
Summary

• **Categorical designs** involve simple, or serial, subtraction and assume pure insertion

• **Factorial designs** do not need to assume pure insertion and examine interactions between cognitive variables

• **Conjunction designs** examine regions which engage the same processes in multiple contrasts, and can avoid issues with violations of pure insertion
Design taxonomy

- Categorical designs
- Factorial designs
- Conjunction designs
- Parametric designs
Parametric designs

A continuously varying parameter

- Detects systematic variation in activity with a process which is engaged to varying degrees
- Avoids pure insertion but does assume no qualitative change in this processing over levels of the task
- To investigate this, need to be more specific, e.g. Linear?
- BUT: often less sensitive

Buchel et al. (1996)

Cohen et al. (1996)
Parametric designs

PET study

- Auditory words
- Linear relationship of presentation rate with activity in primary auditory cortex
  
- (Can also extend to factorial design)
- Implement using contrasts
- E.g. [-2 -1 0 1 2 ]
- weighting over 5 non-rest conditions

Price et al. (1992)
Non-linear parametric design

Polynomial expansion:
\[ f(x) = b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \ldots \]

Parametric modulator in SPM design
Add columns (regressors)

Test for regions specifically showing linear and/or quadratic effects

F-contrast \([1 \ 0]\) on linear param
F-contrast \([0 \ 1]\) on quadratic param

Büchel et al., (1996)
In SPM: parametric modulation

Delta Stick function = condition on/off

Parametric Regressor = augmented by function

Linear parametric regressor

Quadratic parametric regressor

Condition on/off
Model-based parametric design

(Formal) model based fMRI

- Computational model provides neurometric function
e.g. Rescorla-Wagner prediction error

- Can also do model comparison

choices determine interim and outcome states, eventually reward

Glascher & O’Doherty (2010)
Parametric and factorial: PPI

• Another parametric variant takes activity in a seed voxel as a predictor
  = a form of effective connectivity analysis
  A model-based directional test of connectivity between regions
• PPI = psychophysiological interaction
Psychophysiological interaction

- Primary visual cortex V1 activity = parametric physiological predictor
- If V1 predicts V5 over time => connectivity
- Attention vs. no-attention to motion = categorical psychological predictor
- Interaction = test of difference in connectivity
- Significant effects in V5 ‘visual motion area’

Friston et al., 1997
NeuroImage
Psychophysiological interaction

- Attention augments the contribution of V1 to V5
## Design taxonomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorical designs</th>
<th>Task A – Task B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subtraction</td>
<td>- Pure insertion, evoked / differential responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunction</td>
<td>- Testing multiple hypotheses or for overlap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parametric designs</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>- Adaptation, cognitive dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlinear</td>
<td>- Polynomial expansions, neurometric functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Model-based fMRI (model parameters)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factorial designs</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categorical</td>
<td>- Interactions/ test pure insertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parametric</td>
<td>- Linear and nonlinear interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Psychophysiological Interactions (PPI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview

02 Experimental design (this lecture)

- fMRI for activation
- Design taxonomy
- fMRI for information
Representational brain imaging

- So far we have tested for involvement of regions in processes
- fMRI can also be used to study the representational content of regions or voxels

Barron, Garvert & Behrens 2016
fMRI adaptation

- Repetition suppression
- = a reduced BOLD response to repeated stimuli
- Accompanies priming (behavioural)
fMRI adaptation

Repetition suppression as a tool
• Maybe: mix of neurons tuned to different face orientations?
• Or: all viewpoint-invariant?
fMRI adaptation

Release from adaptation => sensitivity to the changed feature

Larger => something new!
fMRI adaptation

Orientation tuning in human LOC (posterior Fusiform)

• Recovery from adaptation when rotate faces

Grill-Spector et al. (2001)
Interim summary

- fMRI adaptation uses repetition suppression to examine neural representations
Multivariate pattern analysis

Multivariate methods for studying representational content

• If information is represented in a distributed fashion
  ... we may have fine-grained spatial structure across voxels
Multivariate pattern analysis

• In traditional Statistical Parametric Mapping, each voxel is analysed with a separate statistical test
• But brain regions not operate separately
• Classification of task by algorithm which has learned feature boundary
‘Decoding’ conscious experience

Binocular rivalry - spontaneously changing percept with no overt behaviour

Train a classifier algorithm on multivoxel data with known labels: red or blue perception

Then predict perception using independent dataset

Haynes & Rees (2005; see 2006)
Representational similarity analysis

- Estimate similarity over stimuli/conditions, multivoxel data
- Compare representational models of data similarity

Clarke & Tyler (2014): semantic versus visual confusability of objects

Kriegeskorte et al. (2008)
Interim summary

• Multivariate analysis can reveal more/ address different questions

• Simple classification study is like a categorical design, e.g. Haynes & Rees (2005)

• Similarity can be used like a conjunction, e.g. Polyn et al. (2005), encoding-retrieval similarity in memory

• More in Advanced course!
Conclusions

• Activation measures the involvement of a region in a process

• Design taxonomy supports tests of questions about
  • Processes differing between tasks
  • Modulation of one process by another
  • Overlap between tasks
  • Involvement in continuously varying quantities

• Adaptation and multivariate approaches focus on information content of activity, and representation

• Also concerned with difference and similarity, and (increasingly) with model comparison